



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/695,549	10/28/2003	Rycharde Jeffery Hawkes	30018432-2	5467
22879	7590	07/20/2009		
HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400			EXAMINER STEVENS, THOMAS H	
			ART UNIT 2121	PAPER NUMBER
			NOTIFICATION DATE 07/20/2009	DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

JERRY.SHORMA@HP.COM

ipa.mail@hp.com

jessica.l.fusek@hp.com

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/695,549		<b>Applicant(s)</b> HAWKES ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> THOMAS H. STEVENS		<b>Art Unit</b> 2121	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) THOMAS H. STEVENS.

(2) Charles Griggers.

**Date of Interview:** 15 July 2009

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
*none*

Claims discussed:  
*1,9,10,14*

Prior art documents discussed:  
*none*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 2:30 pm

/Thomas H. Stevens/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2121

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner provided the following proposed amendments claims 1,9,10 and 14 as if they were entered as an examiner's amendment:

Claim 1, line 8 after - network -- the following limitation was added -- to control the articulation of limbs of a body of said creature being modeled using rigid-body dynamics for physical simulation --

Claim 9, line 5 after --complexity --the following was added -- using high and low fidelity that involve sensors and actuators affecting an animat--

Claim 10, line 3, after - model -- , the following was added -- of high-fidelity and low-fidelity simulation in order to model interactions of creatures as well as said creature's behavior within a virtual environment -

Claim 14, line 7, after - simulation--, the following limitation was -- using high and low fidelity that involve sensors and actuators affecting an animat--

Claim 14, line 11, after - version --, the following limitations were added -- in which both portions will run until a condition is reached --.

The attorney of record and the Examiner will converse at a later date after the attorney converses with his clients on these proposed amendments.